

Revised Five-Year Courthouse Project Plan - FY 2005 - 2009

**(estimated dollars in millions)
Fiscal Year 2005**

Judicial Space Emergency Projects				
1	Los Angeles, CA	Add'l. D/C		\$395.5
2	El Paso, TX	Add'l. S/D/C		\$63.5
3	San Diego, CA	Add'l. S/D/C		\$215.5
4	Las Cruces, NM	S / Add'l. D/C		\$60.6
				\$735.1

Requirements Unfunded From Prior Fiscal Year Plans				Score
1	Savannah, GA	Add'l. D/C	\$57.8	45.8
2	San Jose, CA	Add'l. S/D	\$22.5	39.5
			\$80.3	

FY 2005 Requirements				Score
1	Buffalo, NY	C	\$88.1	68.3
2	Fort Pierce, FL	Add'l. S/C	\$31.8	65.7
3	Jackson, MS	C	\$102.5	64.8
4	Mobile, AL	Add'l. D/C	\$91.5	61.3
5	Norfolk, VA	C	\$75.7	58.9
6	Salt Lake City, UT	Add'l. S/C	\$98.0	55.9
7	Rockford, IL	Add'l. S/D/C	\$54.2	54.3
8	Fort Lauderdale, FL	S&D	\$25.8	53.8
9	Greensboro, NC	S&D	\$15.5	53.7
10	Benton, IL	S&D	\$8.6	53.3
11	Cedar Rapids, IA	Add'l. D/C	\$84.8	52.9
12	Nashville, TN	C	\$104.3	51.8
13	Sioux Falls, SD	S&D	\$21.0	50.0
			\$801.8	

Legend:

S = Site; D = Design, C = Construction; Add'l. = Additional

Cost estimates subject to final verification with GSA.

As of March 26, 2004

Five-Year Courthouse Project Plan FY 2005 - 2009

(estimated dollars in millions)

FY 2006				Score
1	Baltimore, MD	S&D	\$30.1	74.7
2	Chattanooga, TN	S&D	\$10.5	73.9
3	Macon, GA	S&D	\$16.5	73.3
4	Lexington, KY	S&D	\$18.3	68.5
5	Wilmington, DE	S&D	\$7.0	68.2
6	Rochester, NY	S&D	\$3.7	67.8
7	Grand Rapids, MI	S&D	\$16.8	65.1
8	Austin, TX	Add'l. S&D/C	\$62.1	61.5
9	San Antonio, TX	C	\$156.4	61.3
			\$321.4	

FY 2007				Score
1	Alexandria, LA	S&D	\$3.4	64.3
2	Tulsa, OK	S&D	\$2.8	62.6
3	Durham, NC	S&D	\$3.2	62.1
4	Clarksburg, WV	S&D	\$3.6	61.9
5	Dallas, TX	S&D	\$19.9	60.1
6	Pikeville, KY	S&D	\$1.1	59.1
7	Anniston, AL	C	\$17.1	58.6
8	Des Moines, IA	S&D	\$8.6	58.5
9	Harrisburg, PA	C	\$42.7	58.3
10	Huntsville, AL	S&D	\$1.5	58.0
11	Greenbelt, MD	S&D	\$8.0	57.7
12	Syracuse, NY	S&D	\$5.0	57.7
13	Green Bay, WI	S&D	\$2.0	57.2
14	Greenville, SC	C	\$59.0	56.6
15	Toledo, OH	C	\$81.6	54.4
16	Charlotte, NC	C	\$94.3	54.0
17	Fort Lauderdale, FL	C	\$147.9	53.8
18	Greensboro, NC	C	\$65.7	53.7
19	Benton, I L	C	\$67.7	53.3
20	Sioux Falls, SD	C	\$67.0	50.0
21	San Jose, CA	C	\$181.2	39.5
			\$883.3	

S = Site; D = Design, C = Construction; Add'l. = Additional

Five-Year Courthouse Project Plan FY 2005 - 2009

(estimated dollars in millions)

FY 2008				Score
1	Baltimore, MD	C	\$142.9	74.7
2	Chattanooga, TN	C	\$51.0	73.9
3	Macon, GA	C	\$68.4	73.3
4	Lexington, KY	C	\$58.4	68.5
5	Wilmington, DE	C	\$39.5	68.2
6	Rochester, NY	C	\$48.2	67.8
7	Grand Rapids, MI	C	\$106.5	65.1
8	Birmingham, AL	S&D	\$6.5	57.0
9	Panama City, FL	S&D	\$3.9	56.5
10	West Palm Beach, FL	S&D	\$7.4	55.8
11	Philadelphia, PA (COA)	S&D	\$5.9	54.5
12	Columbus, OH	S&D	\$13.0	53.8
13	Burlington, VT	S&D	\$5.6	52.5
14	Aberdeen, MS	S&D	\$3.7	48.5
15	Jefferson City, MO	S&D	\$2.2	48.5
			\$563.1	

FY 2009				Score
1	Alexandria, LA	C	\$14.0	64.3
2	Tulsa, OK	C	\$18.2	62.6
3	Durham, NC	C	\$12.9	62.1
4	Clarksburg, WV	C	\$23.5	61.9
5	Dallas, TX	C	\$129.4	60.1
6	Pikeville, KY	C	\$7.3	59.1
7	Des Moines, IA	C	\$56.1	58.5
8	Huntsville, AL	C	\$5.9	58.0
9	Greenbelt, MD	C	\$52.0	57.7
10	Syracuse, NY	C	\$48.2	57.7
11	Green Bay, WI	C	\$11.4	57.2
12	Houston, TX	S&D	\$23.9	48.0
13	Marquette, MI	S&D	\$1.3	46.9
14	Flint, MI	S&D	\$5.1	46.8
15	Bowling Green, KY	S&D	\$2.3	43.2
16	Peoria, I L	S&D	\$7.6	41.2
			\$419.1	

S = Site; D = Design, C = Construction